

DODD-FRANK ACT STRESS TESTS RESULTS
SEVERELY ADVERSE SCENARIO

August 15, 2019



Table of Contents

I.	Overview	2
П.	Description of Severely Adverse Scenario	3
III.	FHFA Guidance	4
IV.	Severely Adverse Scenario Results Detail	5
	Table 1: DFAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Combined	6
	Table 2: DFAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Fannie Mae	7
	Table 3: DEAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Freddie Mac	8



I. Overview

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the "Enterprises") are required to conduct annual stress tests pursuant to Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) rule 12 CFR § 1238, which implements section 165(i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"). Section 165(i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act requires certain financial companies that have total consolidated assets of more than \$10 billion and are regulated by a primary federal financial regulatory agency to conduct annual stress tests to determine whether the companies have the capital necessary to absorb losses as a result of adverse economic conditions. This is the sixth implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests (DFAST) for the Enterprises.

In September 2008, FHFA suspended capital requirements after placing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorships. The Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements that were established between the Department of the Treasury and each Enterprise limit the amount of capital that each Enterprise can hold to a Capital Reserve Amount. Currently the Capital Reserve Amount is \$3 billion.

Notwithstanding the capital limits stipulated in the Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements, FHFA requires the Enterprises to conduct DFAST annually in order to provide insight into risk exposure and potential sources of losses in the prescribed conditions. This report provides updated information on possible ranges of future financial results of the Enterprises under severely adverse conditions. The severely adverse conditions assumed are identical for both Enterprises.

The projections reported here are not expected outcomes. They are modeled projections in response to "what if" exercises based on assumptions about Enterprise operations, loan performance, macroeconomic and financial market conditions, and house prices. The projections do not define the full range of possible outcomes. Actual outcomes may be different.

The 2019 DFAST Severely Adverse scenario is described on page 3. The Enterprises used their respective internal models to project their financial results based on the assumptions provided by FHFA. While this results in a degree of comparability between the Enterprises, it does not eliminate differences in the Enterprises' respective internal models, accounting differences, or management actions.



II. Description of Severely Adverse Scenario

The 2019 DFAST Severely Adverse scenario is based upon a severe global recession accompanied by stressed commercial real estate and corporate debt markets. The scenario is not a forecast, but instead is a hypothetical future economic environment designed to assess the strength of the Enterprises and other financial institutions and their resilience to unfavorable market conditions. The planning horizon for the implementation of the 2019 DFAST is over a nine-quarter period from December 31, 2018 through March 31, 2021.

In the 2019 DFAST Severely Adverse scenario, U.S. real GDP declines by about 8 percent from its pre-recession peak. The rate of unemployment increases from 3.8 percent at the beginning of the planning horizon to a peak of 10.0 percent in the third quarter of 2020. The annualized consumer price inflation rate falls to about 1.25 percent in the first quarter of 2019 and then rises to approximately 2 percent by the second half of 2020.

As a result of the severe decline in real economic activity and low inflation levels, short-term Treasury rates decline to near zero and remain there through the end of the planning horizon. However, the 10-year Treasury rate declines by a lesser amount which results in a mildly steeper yield curve. The 10-year Treasury falls to a trough of about ³/₄ percent in the first quarter of 2019 and then gradually increases to 1.5 percent at the end of the planning horizon.

Due to these macroeconomic developments, asset prices decline sharply as financial conditions in corporate and real estate lending markets become severely stressed. Spreads on domestic investment-grade corporate bonds versus long-term Treasury securities increase to 5.5 percent by the third quarter of 2019, while the spread between mortgage rates and the 10-year Treasury yield increases to about 3.5 percent over the same period. In addition, equity prices fall by approximately 50 percent by the end of 2019, and equity market volatility increases substantially. Home prices decline by about 25 percent, and commercial real estate prices fall by 35 percent through the third quarter of 2019. These declines represent a less severe downturn in real estate markets compared to the 30 percent home price decline and 40 percent commercial real estate price decline that applied in the 2018 DFAST reporting cycle.

Compared to last year's DFAST, the 2019 severely adverse scenario includes a more severe recession and a larger increase in the unemployment rate. In addition, in this year's severely adverse scenario, the 10-year Treasury yield declines whereas in the 2018 DFAST the 10-year yield was unchanged which resulted in a much steeper yield curve, reflecting a global aversion to long-term fixed income assets. This results in declines in certain asset prices, including stocks, which are less severe in this cycle versus last year and more in line



Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests - Severely Adverse Scenario Results

with the declines featured in the 2017 DFAST.

The 2019 DFAST Severely Adverse scenario also includes a global market shock component that impacts the Enterprises' retained portfolios. This year's global market shock is more focused on weakening economic conditions in Europe which lead to sell-offs in certain financial sectors more broadly. There is a significant widening in credit spreads leading to a decline in market liquidity, particularly in sectors which are typically less liquid including corporate debt and private equity markets. Markets that are typically more liquid such as publicly traded equities and currency markets are less impacted by these factors. In U.S. based sectors that more directly impact the Enterprises, including U.S. agencies and other RMBS products, the impact is notably less severe – particularly when compared to last year's global market shock. Other major differences in the global market shock for this DFAST cycle compared to 2018 include the less severe yield curve steepening, a stronger U.S. dollar compared to other advanced countries, and a more Europe-focused stress scenario which leads to a general flight-to-quality to U.S. based markets.

The global market shock also includes a counterparty default component that assumes the failure of each Enterprise's largest counterparty. The global market shock is treated as an instantaneous loss and reduction of capital in the first quarter of the planning horizon, and the scenario assumes no recovery of these losses by the Enterprises in future quarters. For those positions subject to the global market shock, FHFA directed the Enterprises to report the greater of the global market shock losses or the losses attributable to the macroeconomic scenario for the identical positions.

III. FHFA Guidance

FHFA instructed the Enterprises to extrapolate any scenario variables beyond the projection date as required. FHFA provided one year of scenario assumptions beyond the nine-quarter planning horizon to be used by the Enterprises as needed. Additionally, FHFA provided historical data on scenario variables in the event that models required that information.

FHFA instructed the Enterprises to comply with the terms of the PSPAs, as amended, to determine the level of dividends to be paid during each quarter of the planning horizon.



Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests - Severely Adverse Scenario Results

FHFA communicated specific instructions to address particular issues relevant to the Enterprises' unique lines of business. FHFA required the Enterprises to use aligned regional house price paths to improve the comparability of stress test results. Additionally, FHFA broadened the definition of counterparties to be considered in the largest counterparty default component of the global market shock to include mortgage insurers and providers of multifamily credit enhancements.

IV. Severely Adverse Scenario Results Detail

Important contributors to losses in the Severely Adverse Scenario included the following:

- The provision for credit losses was the largest contributor to comprehensive losses at both Enterprises.
- The second largest contributor to comprehensive losses at both Enterprises was the global market shock impact on trading securities and available-for-sale securities.
- Comprehensive losses decreased in the 2019 DFAST reporting cycle compared to
 the 2018 DFAST reporting cycle, mostly driven by the decrease in provision for
 credit losses as a result of the less severe decline in home prices included in the 2019
 DFAST Severely Adverse scenario coupled with the improvement in the credit
 profile of the Enterprises' books of business.

The following tables reflect the Enterprises' results on the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests.



Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests — Severely Adverse Scenario Results

Table 1: DFAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Combined

Cumulative Projected Financial Metrics (Q1 2019 - Q1 2021)

Results without establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax assets

Impact of establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax assets Results with establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax assets

	valuation allowance				
	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶	on deferred tax assets	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶
Pre-provision net revenue ¹	\$30.8	0.56%		\$30.8	0.56%
(Provision) benefit for credit losses	(42.6)	-0.77%		(42.6)	-0.77%
Mark-to-market gains (losses) ²	(2.5)	-0.05%		(2.5)	-0.05%
Global market shock impact on trading securities					
and counterparty	(6.4)	-0.12%		(6.4)	-0.12%
Net income before taxes	(20.7)	-0.37%		(20.7)	-0.37%
(Provision) benefit for taxes	4.5	0.08%	(25.3)	(20.8)	-0.38%
Other comprehensive income (loss) ³	(1.8)	-0.03%		(1.8)	-0.03%
Total comprehensive income (loss)	(18.0)	-0.32%	(25.3)	(43.3)	-0.78%
Credit losses ⁴	(\$12.8)			(\$12.8)	
Credit losses (% of average portfolio balance) ⁵	0.23%			0.23%	

¹ Includes net interest income, security impairments, operational risk losses, foreclosed property income (expense), and other non-interest income/expenses.

Numbers may not foot due to rounding.



² Includes fair value gains (losses) on derivative and trading securities, and other gains (losses) on investment securities.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Includes global market shock impact on available-for-sale securities.

⁴ Credit losses are defined as charge-offs, net plus foreclosed property expenses.

⁵ Average portfolio balance over the nine-quarter planning horizon.

⁶ Average total assets over the nine-quarter planning horizon.

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests — Severely Adverse Scenario Results

Table 2: DFAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Fannie Mae

Cumulative Projected Financial Metrics (Q1 2019 - Q1 2021)

Results without establishing valuation allowance on

Impact of

Results with establishing valuation allowance on

	deferred tax assets		establishing	deferred tax assets	
	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶	valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶
Pre-provision net revenue ¹	\$17.4	0.51%		\$17.4	0.51%
(Provision) benefit for credit losses	(26.0)			(26.0)	
Mark-to-market gains (losses) ²	(0.4)			(0.4)	
Global market shock impact on trading securities					
and counterparty ³	(2.9)			(2.9)	
Net income before taxes	(11.9)	-0.34%		(11.9)	-0.34%
(Provision) benefit for taxes	2.6		(16.6)	(13.9)	
Other comprehensive income (loss) ³	(0.3)			(0.3)	
Total comprehensive income (loss)	(9.5)	-0.28%	(16.6)	(26.1)	-0.76%
Credit losses ⁴	(\$7.2)			(\$7.2)	
Credit losses (% of average portfolio balance) ⁵	0.22%			0.22%	

 $^{^{1} \} lncludes \ net interest income, security impairments, operational risk losses, foreclosed property income (expense), and other non-interest income/expenses.$

Numbers may not foot due to rounding.



² Includes fair value gains (losses) on derivative and trading securities, and other gains (losses) on investment securities.

³ Includes global market shock impact on available-for-sale securities.

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ Credit losses are defined as charge-offs, net plus foreclosed property expenses.

⁵ Average portfolio balance over the nine-quarter planning horizon.

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ Average total assets over the nine-quarter planning horizon.

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests — Severely Adverse Scenario Results

Table 3: DFAST Severely Adverse Scenario Results – Freddie Mac

Cumulative Projected Financial Metrics (Q1 2019 - Q1 2021)

Results without establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax assets

Impact of establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax Results with establishing valuation allowance on deferred tax assets

	45.554		valuation allowance	40.004 14400010	
	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶	on deferred tax assets	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ⁶
Pre-provision net revenue ¹ (Provision) benefit for credit losses	\$13.4 (16.5)	0.64%		\$13.4 (16.5)	0.64%
Mark-to-market gains (losses) ²	(2.1)			(2.1)	
Global market shock impact on trading secur and counterparty	ities <u>(3.5)</u>			(3.5)	
Net income before taxes	(8.8)	-0.42%		(8.8)	-0.42%
(Provision) benefit for taxes	1.8		(8.8)	(6.9)	
Other comprehensive income (loss) ³	<u>(1.5)</u>			(1.5)	
Total comprehensive income (loss)	(8.4)	-0.40%	(8.8)	(17.2)	-0.83%
Credit losses ⁴	(\$5.6)			(\$5.6)	
Credit losses (% of average portfolio balance	9)5 0.26%			0.26%	

¹ Includes net interest income, security impairments, operational risk losses, foreclosed property income (expense), and other non-interest income/expenses.

Numbers may not foot due to rounding.



² Includes fair value gains (losses) on derivative and trading securities, and other gains (losses) on investment securities.

 $^{^{3}}$ Includes global market shock impact on available-for-sale securities.

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ Credit losses are defined as charge-offs, net plus foreclosed property expenses.

⁵ Average portfolio balance over the nine-quarter planning horizon.

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ Average total assets over the nine-quarter planning horizon.